

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,747	PARK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas J. Cleary	2111	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas J. Cleary - USPTO. (3) _____

(2) Michael Leonard - 60,180. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 07 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Amendment after final submitted 28 January 2008.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: Le, Sparks.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant identified that the system switchover command may be initiated by a physical switch on the device, and has agreed to consider claim language to that effect, namely that the system switchover command is input via a physical system switchover command key. Applicant has further agreed to consider an amendment to change the "data transmission/reception specification request" to a "system switchover command" for clarity. Examiner indicated that these claim amendments would appear to overcome the prior art of record, however, further search and consideration would be required.